High-resolution X-ray Spectroscopy of the Planetary Nebula BD+30 3639

MSSL Spectroscopy Workshop 3 Mar. 19. 2009

Young Sam Yu, Joel Kastner

Rochester Institute of Technology, USA

John Houck

Massachusetts Institute of Technology, USA

Ehud Behar*, Noam Soker

Technion-Israel Institute of Technology, Israel *Goddard Space Flight Center, USA

Raanan Nordon, Max-Plank-Institut fur extraterrestrische Physik, Germany

Outline

- Stellar evolution of Intermediate massive star
- What are the Planetary Nebulae (PNe)?
- X-ray emission scenarios in PNe
- History of X-ray observation of BD+30°3639
- Chandra Observation of BD+30°3639 with LETG/ACIS-S
- Model fitting and Interpretation
- Conclusions

Evolution of star along the H-R diagram



What are planetary nebulae?

- Last stages of evolution for stars of initial mass(1-8M_•)
- PN: ejected red giant envelope ionized by newly unveiled stellar core (emerging white dwarf)
- PN shapes likely generated by interacting (red giant and white dwarf) stellar winds



NGC 7027: planetary nebula poster child

X-ray emission from PNe

- Photoionized gas in PNe is far too cool to emit X-rays
- The central stars are at best source of very soft X-rays
- Theory predicts two types of structures may be caused by wind-wind shocks in planetary nebulae:
 - Hot bubble predicted by classical (quasi-spherical) interacting winds theory
 - Collimated outflows and "jets"
 - => X-ray observations are essential to verify & distinguish scenarios

The planetary nebula BD+30°3639

Basic data:

Distance from Earth : 1.2 kpc **Dimensions**: 4 X 5" (~0.02 pc) **Dynamical age :** less than 1000yr

Central Star: Carbon-rich Wolf-Rayet type Temp : 30,000K Mass loss rate : ~10⁻⁶ M_{solar}/ yr Wind speed: ~700 km/s



First X-ray image of a hot bubble within a planetary nebula Left: HST [SIII] image; right: Chandra X-ray image (0.3-2.0 keV) (Kastner et al. 2000)

Previous results from X-ray CCD spectroscopy: Fitting models to BD+30°3639 spectra

telescope (instrument)	N _H (10 ²¹ cm ⁻²)	T _X (10 ⁶ K)	abundances	reference
ROSAT (PSPC)	1.4*	2.5		Kreysing et al (1992)
ASCA (SIS)	1.2*	3.0	C greatly enhanced; N, Ne enhanced; Fe depleted	Arnaud et al. (1996)
Chandra (ACIS)	1.0	2.7	C, Ne enhanced; Fe depleted	Kastner et al. (2000)
Chandra (ACIS)	2.5	2.1	C, Ne greatly enhanced; N, O enhanced; Fe depleted	Maness et al. (2003)
Chandra (ACIS)	2.0	2.4	No useful constraints!	Georgiev et al. (2006)
SUZAKU	2.1	2.2	C, N, Ne greatly enhanced; Fe depleted	Murashima et al. (2006)

* $N_{\rm H}$ constrained by $A_{\rm V}$ for PSPC and SIS model fits

Dispersed spectral images of BD+30°3639

We obtained observations of BD+30°3639 totaling 300 ks exposure time with LETG/ACIS-S during the CXO Cycle 6

5 to 40 Å for \pm 1 order from first-epoch (Feb+Mar, 2006; Lower Two) and second-epoch (Dec, 2006; Upper Two) observatin.

Combined positive and negative first order LETG/ACIS-S Spectrum

BD +303639: LETG/ACIS 1st-order spectra (300 ks)



Model Fitting

One Temperature model

BD +303639:APED model fit with one Temp & two vturb in counts

Two Temperature model

BD +303639:APED model fit with two Temp & two vturb in counts



Yu et al. 2009, ApJ, 690, 440Y

Results

- Temperature of shocked plasma: $T \sim (1.7 2.9) \times 10^6 \text{ K}$
- Hydrogen column density : $\log N_H(\text{cm}^{-2}) = 21.4$
- Plasma abundances, relative to solar:
 - C/O ~ 32.5 (yes, C is very overabundant!)
 - Ne/O ~ 3.8 (yes, Ne is overabundant)
 - Fe/O ~ 0.2 (yes, Fe is quite depleted!)
 - $N/O \sim 0.4$ (yes, N is quite depleted)
 - Mg/O \sim 0.7 (yes, Mg is depleted)

Comparison to previous study

Parameter	A96 ASCA/SIS	K00	M03 Chandra/ACIS-S3	G06	M06 Suzaku/XIS	This Paper Chandra/LETG/ACIS
N _H (10 ²² cm ⁻²)	0.12	0.1 [0.09–0.11]	0.24 [0.23-0.25]	0.2 [1.7–2.3]	0.21[1.4-2.5]	0.24 [0.20-0.28]
L _X (10 ³² ergs s ⁻¹)	1.3 - 1.7	2.3			12	8.6
T ₁ (10 ⁶ K)	3.0 [2.7-3.3]	2.7 [2.6-2.8]	2.1 [2.08-2.12]	2.4 [2.1-2.6]	2.2 [2.1-2.3]	2.9 [2.6-3.3]
$T_2 (10^6 \text{ K})$						1.7 [1.3-2.1]
Fe/O	0		0	0.3 [0.1-0.8]	< 0.1	0.2 [0.1-0.4]
Ne/O	8.3		4.6 [3.9-5.3]	5.4 [0.1-57.7]	5.8 [4.7-7.5]	3.8 [3.3-5.0]
C/O	281		84 [79–90]	97 [8.1-813]	85 [71-101]	32.5 [15-45]
N/O	7.2		2.2 [2.0-2.3]	5.8 [1.3-80.1]	3.2 [0.9-5.5]	0.4 [0.0-1.0]
Mg/O			0.24 [0.22-0.26]	0.3 [0.1-0.8]		0.7 [0.4–1.5]

References. A96 = Arnaud et al. 1996; K00 = Kastner et al. 2000; M03 = Maness et al. 2003; G06 = Georgiev et al. 2006; M06 = Murashima et al.2006; This paper= Yu et al. 2009

Interpretation

• X-ray temperature (T_x)

- Two temperature represents the temperature gradient within the hot bubble.
- lower than expected from a simple adiabatic shock model (700 km/s wind should produce a few x 10 million degree plasma)
- Possible scenarios
 - Shocked wind presently seen in X-rays was ejected at earlier epoch, when the "fast wind" was slower (~400km/s)

C/O overabundance

- Triple alpha process produces ¹²C and ¹⁶O in the intershell.
- He shell burning and subsequent dredge-up (AGB "third dredge-up") brings intershell products (C, s-process elements) to the surface

• Ne/O over abundance, Fe/O, N/O depletion

- S-process within pulse-driven convection zone (PDCZ)), associated He shell burning
- ¹⁴N + α -> ¹⁸O(Burnt in He shell burning) -> ²²Ne ¹⁸F
- ²²Ne (and ¹⁶O) is neutron source in s-process and Fe become the "starting material" (or seed) for this neutron capture
- \Rightarrow Therefore, Ne is enhanced but N and Fe is depleted.

The inner layers of a highly evolved star

AGB stars are carbon factories!

From Herwig (2005, Ann. Rev. Astron. Astrophys.)



Conclusions

- X-ray emitting gas originates essentially from the "WC star" (From comparison of UV/optical observation for central star; Marcolino et al. 2007)
- Sharply non-solar composition of shocked gas originated from intershell region of AGB star
- Two temperatures indicate the temperature gradient within the wind-collision-generated "hot bubble" of a planetary nebula
- The results will provide constraints on wind collision models

Thank you!!!