X-Ray Spectroscopy of Cool Stars: Present View and Future Expectations

Coronal Structure, Heating, Accretion, Outflows, Circumstellar Disks

> Manuel Güdel ETH Zürich, Switzerland

MSSL, March 20, 2009



First step toward coronal structure: densities and EM



Combine

- density at T (homogenous assumption) & EM at T \rightarrow V
- reasonable <u>scale height</u> at T (e.g., loop scaling laws)
- \rightarrow coronal filling factor for structures at T





add cool plasma \rightarrow interactions between \rightarrow more heating, higher T, active regions: flares \rightarrow more plasma, higher n_e

Are <u>flares</u> heating active stellar coronae?

(e.g.,Güdel et al. 1997, Drake et al. 2000, Ness et al. 2004)

Density changes during a flare





Requirements for spectral resolution

Centroiding at 10-30 km/s level possible for bright sources (Hussain et al. 2005)

Typical rotation speeds for active stars: few 10s km/s

Separating two line systems important for Doppler mapping



(Hussain et al 2005)

Good to have: $\lambda/\Delta\lambda = 2000-5000$

Composition of stellar coronae: Indicator of mass transport?



(Telleschi et al. 2005)



Flare <u>evaporation</u> drives composition back toward <u>photospheric</u> mix →Coronal FIP or IFIP is <u>genuine</u>, not reflecting photospheric composition

For further issues on coronal abundances, see presentation by J. Schmitt

T Tauri stars: Accretion signatures?



"SOFT EXCESS" (Güdel 2006; Telleschi et al. 2007, G&T '07)

The X-ray Soft Excess: Dependencies



- accretion required

- coronal activity matters as well

Interaction between accretion flows and coronal magnetic field?

T Tauri stars: Electron densities



Exception (1): low-density

Norm (7): high density ($\geq 10^{11}$ cm⁻³)

Dense, cool plasma in accretion shocks?

(Kastner et al. 2002, Stelzer & Schmitt 2004, Schmitt et al. 2005, Günther et al. 2006, Argiroffi et al. 2007, Robrade & Schmitt 2006/07, Günther et al. 2006, Huenemoerder et al. 2007)

High densities in "cool" accretion shocks of CTTS



Requirements for sensitivity

- So far, studied 8 CTTS at low S/N
- no accreting brown dwarfs
- no accreting "protostars" (1-2 keV)

Good to have: 10xA_{eff} now available: 2,000 cm² @ 0-5.1 keV



The Magnetically Channeled Wind Shock (MCWS) model



Montmerle 2001, Science (after Babel & Montmerle 1997)

Disk ionization by stellar X-rays? Fluorescence





- only 6.4 keV line
- steady
- broadened: 160 eV FWHM
- EW = 2.4 keV! theoretical disks: <150 eV (George & Fabian '91, Drake et al. '08) X-ray source hidden? EW requires $N_H = 10^{24} \text{ cm}^{-2}$



Fluorescence

6.4 keV line during impulsive phase like HXR or radio (Osten et al. 2007, Czesla & Schmitt 2007):

K shell electron ejection by nonthermal electrons?

(challenged by Drake et al. 2008)

Need hard X-rays to unambiguously decide.

Requirements for spectral resolution

- localisation of fluorescence on (inner) circumstellar disks:

centroiding to 10 km/s at least

- reverberation mapping using Fe $\mbox{K}\alpha$

Good to have: $\lambda/\Delta\lambda = 3000$

Spectroscopic evidence for stellar jets

soft/cool component: low N_H, constant hard/hot component: high N_H, flaring

Chandra high-resolution image of DG Tau

(Chandra, Güdel et al. 2008)

soft image of DG Tau (< 1.7 keV): essentially all due to jets (including central PSF, as suggested from spectrum)

R = 5000 & higher sensitivity: measure flows in jets!

Summary

New insights into magnetic structure and physical mechanisms from spectroscopy related to

densities (also resonant scattering), fluorescence, Doppler shifts

Still many open questions, among them:

- Detailed magnetic structure: need higher spectral resolution & models from Sun

- Abundance anomalies: new theoretical developments needed

- Accretion disks: high resolution and sensitivity at 6.4 keV